

AGENDA ITEM NO: 7

Report To: Inverclyde Integration Joint

Board - Audit Committee

Date: 21 March 2022

Report By: Allen Stevenson

(Interim Chief Officer)
Inverclyde Health & Social

Care Partnership

Report No:

IJBA/08/2022/CG

Contact Officer Craig Given Contact No: 01475 715381

Subject: IJB BEST VALUE STATEMENT 2021/22

1.0 PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide a statement in relation to how the IJB has delivered Best Value during the previous financial year.

2.0 SUMMARY

2.1 Appendix A contains the Draft Best Value Statement for 2021/22. This is reviewed and updated annually as part of the annual accounts process.

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 It is recommended that the IJB Audit Committee approve the Best Value Statement enclosed at Appendix A.

Allen Stevenson Interim Chief Officer

Craig Given
Chief Financial Officer

4.0 BACKGROUND

- 4.1 Integration Joint Boards have a statutory duty to make arrangements to secure best value. To achieve this, IJBs are required to have effective arrangements in place for scrutinising performance, monitoring progress towards achieving strategic objectives and holding partners to account.
- 4.2 Part of evidencing the work that the IJB does in relation to this is through officers reviewing and updating the Best Value Statement enclosed at Appendix A each year as part of the annual accounts process.
- 4.3 The statement considers Inverclyde's position in relation to 10 key Audit Scotland Best Value prompts. Based on this statement and placing appropriate reliance on the Best Value arrangements in place through the Council and Health Board no additional action is required by the IJB at this time over and above the actions already taking place as detailed in the statement. These 10 questions and draft responses were sent to all IJB members during the year for comment and those comments were used to update the enclosed draft statement.
- 4.4 In compiling the response a questionnaire was sent to all IJB members in January 2021. The survey detailed the 10 Best Value prompt questions recommended by Audit Scotland and showed the proposed responses to each of those. 7 responses were received to the questionnaire. In the main respondents agreed with the proposed narrative. Where changes were proposed these have been shown as tracked changes on the attached appendix. The table in Appendix B details the responses received and action taken.
- 4.5 Within the responses were 5 comments which weren't specific wording changes. Where possible the response has been amended to reflect these. The comments received were:
 - Q1. "As BV is (Audit Scotland definition): delivering good governance, the effective management of resources, with a focus on improvement to deliver the best outcomes, it is implicit that the Board (IJB) is primarily responsible. It directs the Accountable Officer to deliver this and seeks to hold to account via its assurance and governance framework. I wonder if we might make this more explicit here!" Response wording was amended to reflect this.
 - Q3. "As this is about partner 'buy in' to our vision (in effect, our Strategic Plan and 6 Big Outcomes), I wonder if this is strengthened if we add a short sentence about the fact that both partners have historically delegated significant budget resources to deliver the integration of health and social care services, which have themselves been delegated to the IJB. Response wording was amended to reflect this.
 - Q3. "I think we still have work to do here, and I think we need to look at this question in a context that is wider than Council / Health Board there are partners who have "bought in" enough to attend the IJB but whose voices are still not necessarily heard. I would be brave enough to suggest that we would be a richer board if we paid some attention to addressing this particularly if the role of IJB's is likely to be enhanced. The IJB's role / visibility within the Alliance could also be developed further" Response wording was amended to reflect this.
 - Q4. "As this is about how VFM is demonstrated by the decisions made, you could reasonably add here by way of example that of our most recent deliberation/decision on a £1M+ contract tender [for a new 'Social Care Case Management System'] actively considered the weighting of quality and price as a means of achieving the full value of the resources being expended, weighting quality over cost." Response wording was amended to reflect this.

Q5. "I would explicitly point to the successful marriage of development work and effective risk management within the IJB context. As a board, looking at risk and viewing improvement planning with risk as a live concern has been a positive experience as an IJB member, and helps to ensure that individual improvements are cogent with a direction of travel, and are managed appropriately. It is a **culture** of continuous improvement, not a series of improvements that are haphazardly implemented." – Response wording was amended to reflect this.

5.0 DIRECTIONS

5.1		Dire	ection to:	
	Direction Required to			Χ
	Council, Health Board	2.	Inverclyde Council	
	or Both	3.	NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde (GG&C)	
		4.	Inverclyde Council and NHS GG&C	

6.0 IMPLICATIONS

6.1 **FINANCE**

There are no financial implications arising from this report.

One off Costs

Cost Centre	Budget Heading	Budget Years	Proposed Spend this Report £000	Virement From	Other Comments
N/A					

Annually Recurring Costs / (Savings)

Cost Centre	Budget Heading	With Effect from	Annual Net Impact £000	Virement From	Other Comments
N/A					

LEGAL

6.2 There are no specific legal implications arising from this report.

HUMAN RESOURCES

6.3 There are no specific human resources implications arising from this report.

EQUALITIES

6.4 There are no equality issues within this report.

Has an Equality Impact Assessment been carried out?

YES	(see attached appendix)



NO – This report does not introduce a new policy, function or strategy or recommend a change to an existing policy, function or strategy. Therefore, no Equality Impact Assessment is required.

6.5 How does this report address our Equality Outcomes

There are no Equalities Outcomes implications within this report.

Equalities Outcome	Implications
People, including individuals from the above	None
protected characteristic groups, can access HSCP	
services.	
Discrimination faced by people covered by the	None
protected characteristics across HSCP services is	
reduced if not eliminated.	
People with protected characteristics feel safe within	None
their communities.	
People with protected characteristics feel included in	None
the planning and developing of services.	
HSCP staff understand the needs of people with	None
different protected characteristic and promote	
diversity in the work that they do.	
Opportunities to support Learning Disability service	None
users experiencing gender based violence are	
maximised.	
Positive attitudes towards the resettled refugee	None
community in Inverclyde are promoted.	

CLINICAL OR CARE GOVERNANCE IMPLICATIONS

6.6 There are /are no governance issues within this report.

NATIONAL WELLBEING OUTCOMES

6.7 How does this report support delivery of the National Wellbeing Outcomes

There are no National Wellbeing Outcomes implications within this report.

National Wellbeing Outcome	Implications
People are able to look after and improve their own health and wellbeing and live in good health for longer.	None
People, including those with disabilities or long term conditions or who are frail are able to live, as far as reasonably practicable, independently and at home or in a homely setting in their community	None
People who use health and social care services have positive experiences of those services, and have their dignity respected.	None
Health and social care services are centred on helping to maintain or improve the quality of life of people who use those services.	None
Health and social care services contribute to reducing health inequalities.	None
People who provide unpaid care are supported to look after their own health and wellbeing, including reducing any negative impact of their caring role on their own health and wellbeing.	None

People using health and social care services are safe from harm.	None
People who work in health and social care services feel engaged with the work they do and are supported to continuously improve the information, support, care and treatment they provide.	None
Resources are used effectively in the provision of health and social care services.	None

7.0 CONSULTATION

7.1 This report was prepared in consultation with the Chief Officer and External Audit.

8.0 BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1 None.

2	IOD Dest Value Diali Otatelliciit 2020/21	
	Audit Scotland Prompt	Response
~	Who do you consider to be accountable for securing Best Value in the IJB?	The duty of Best Value applies to all public bodies in Scotland. It is a statutory duty on local authorities (Inverclyde Council) and a formal duty on Accountable Officers, such as the Chief Executive of NHS GG&C.
		The IJB is responsible for directing its partners (the Council and Health Board) to commission all IJB services in line with the principles of Best Value. Ultimately the IJB is primarily responsible to deliver Best Value and it directs its accountable officer to deliver this and seeks to hold to account via its assurance and governance framework. However, this does not remove the accountability within partner organisations for their own responsibilities under Best Value legislation. Commissioning from third sector providers should be evaluated with Best Value principles during procurement.
7	How do you receive assurance that the services supporting the delivery of the strategic plan are securing Best Value?	IJB directions to the Council and Health Board clearly require them to deliver services in line with our Strategic Plan and that all services must be procured and delivered in line with Best Value principles.
		There are current arrangements for monitoring the delivery of the Strategic Plan this within various IJB/HSCP forums, including: Integration Joint Board Meetings Transformation Board Audit Committee
		 Inverclyde Health & Social Care Committee Clinical & Care Governance Committee Strategic Planning Group
		 Senior Management Team (HSCP) Corporate Management Teams of the Health Board and Council
		Performance is scrutinised regarding the targets met or any issues that arise from this. Systems of control within the IJB are subject to Internal Audit review and scrutiny by the IJB Audit Committee. The Committee also receives a regular

	Audit Scotland Prompt	Response
		summary of all internal audit reports relating to the IJBs partner organisations which provides further assurance in relation to Best Value. The IJB also places reliance on the controls and procedures of our partner organisations in terms of Best Value delivery which are also subject to regular audit and review directly through those partner organisations.
		IJB directions to the Council and Health Board clearly require them to deliver services in line with our Strategic Plan and that all services must be procured and delivered in line with Best Value principles.
က	Do you consider there to be sufficient buy-in to the IJB's longer term vision from partner officers and members?	Yes - the IJB has good joint working arrangements in place and has benefited from ongoing support from members and officers within our partner organisations over the last year in order to deliver the IJBs longer term vision. Within Inverclyde there is a wider collaborative approach to Health and Social Care with a commitment to Best Value from all relevant partners which is evidenced through their own Best Value processes and audit arrangements. Both partners have historically delegated significant budget resources to deliver the integration of health and social care services, which have themselves been delegated to the IJB
		The IJB also works closely with Community Planning Partners through the Strategic Alliance. However, there is still a feeling within the IJB that this could be improved further with more partnership engagement.
4	How is value for money demonstrated in the decisions made by the IJB?	All IJB papers carry a section that clearly outlines the financial implications of each proposal as well as other implications in terms of legal, HR, equality and diversity and linkage to the IJBs strategic objectives.
		The IJB engages in healthy debate and discussions around any proposed investment decisions and savings proposals, many of which are supported by additional IJB development sessions. In addition IJB directions to the Health Board and Council require them to deliver our services in line with Best Value principles.
		An example can be seen with the recent decision made by the IJB for the new 'Social Care Case Management System whereby the IJB actively considered the weighting of quality and price as a means of achieving the full value of the resources

	Audit Scotland Prompt	Kesponse
		being expended, weighting quality over cost.
2	Do you consider there to be a culture of continuous improvement?	Yes – IJB, SPG and SMT development sessions over the past 12 months have sought to enhance the operational effectiveness of the IJB, SPG and SMT and of the services the HSCP delivers. The IJB operates and believes in creating a culture of continuous improvement working in conjunction with its attitude towards risk as a live concern.
		Many of these development sessions have been focussed around service redesign and improvement plans within services to ensure the IJB and SPG members are fully informed and engaged in our continuous improvement process. Both the IJB and SPG have staff-side representation, so staff and trade unions are also fully involved in shaping continuous improvement.
		We have also redesigned our Transformation Board arrangements to make clearer and closer links between the work of that Board and the Strategic Plan and Strategic Planning Group. Feedback from officers has been very positive on the new Board format.
9	Have there been any service reviews undertaken since establishment – have improvements been identified? Is there any evidence of improvements in services and/or reductions in pressures as a result of joint working?	Several reviews have taken place with many still ongoing. This work is overseen operationally by Review Boards for each Service Review and the Transformation Board oversees all of the reviews and redesigns with feedback on the planned and delivered service improvements and efficiencies of each.
		Some of the major reviews include: Learning Disabilities Review, Addictions Review and AHP Review. There has also been a major review of Health Improvement Services, shifting the focus from single topic project work to more of a Public Health approach. This has been done in a bid to embed a strategic approach to tackling inequalities across the whole Community Planning Partnership (the Inverclyde Alliance). All of these redesigns have been very recent, and the impacts have not yet been evaluated.
7	Have identified improvement actions been prioritised in terms of those likely to have the	Yes – Prioritised improvement actions in Inverclyde are managed through the Service Review Boards, Heads of Service, Transformation Board and the SMT with

	A	
ω	greatest impact? What steps are taken to ensure that quality of care and service provided is not compromised as a result of costs saving measures?	the Health Board and Council CMTs involved as required. All reviews are aligned to the Health Board and Council CMTs involved as required. All reviews are aligned to the everall Strategic Planning process which is monitored and controlled through the SPG. Annual implementation plan for each of the 6 Big Actions are in place and progress reports against these implementation plans go to the SPG and IJB to provide guidance on overall performance in delivering the Strategic Plan. Staff and clinical representation is in place on all Review Boards, the SPG and IJB. All redesigns are discussed at the Clinical and Care Governance Group, and potential implications are required to be assessed and included in IJB reports. In
		addition, Equality Impact Assessments are required for savings proposals. The IJBs Clinical Care Strategy puts a firm focus on the quality and safety of care across all services. The integrated ways of working in Inverclyde ensure that residents experience a quality standard of care. As an example, one of the key emerging priorities for the HSCP is supporting the 3rd sector's readiness to bid for contracts. Working in partnership with the local TSI, this commitment to supporting partners in the 3rd sector will improve both the quality of the tender bids and the capacity of the 3rd sector.
		Given the level of savings, demographic demands is becoming more difficult to deliver savings, there is a concern that this will impact on quality in the future.
თ	Is performance information reported to the board of sufficient detail to enable value for money to be assessed?	The IJB has oversight of IJB performance both operationally and financially through regular financial and performance monitoring reports.
10	How does the IJB ensure that management of resources (finances, workforce etc.) is effective and sustainable?	Regular budget and performance monitoring reports to the IJB give oversight of this. All IJB reports contain a section outlining the financial implications of each paper. The IJBs internal control reporting mechanisms linked to the Risk Register require reporting to the Audit Committee on any High/Significant operational risks in addition to the IJB strategic risks. This additional process helps ensure the IJB has sight of any potential concerns, enabling them to manage and mitigate any resource risks.

S	Summary of Questionnaire Responses				
đ	Question/Prompt	Survey Responses	ponses		
		Agree response	Disagree	Not sure/No strong opinion	Proposed change
-	Who do you consider to be accountable for securing Best Value in the IJB?	<i>L</i> /9	1	ı	1/7 Response wording amended
2.	How do you receive assurance that the services supporting the delivery of the strategic plan are securing Best Value?	2/2			
ن	Do you consider there to be sufficient buy-in to the IJB's longer term vision from partner officers and members?	2/2			2/7 Response wording amended
4.	How is value for money demonstrated in the decisions made by the IJB?	2/9		1	1/7 Response wording amended
5.	Do you consider there to be a culture of continuous improvement?	<i>L</i> /9	-		1/7 Response wording amended
6.	Have there been any service reviews undertaken since establishment – have improvements been identified? Is there any evidence of improvements in services and/or reductions in pressures as a result of joint working?	229	1	1	
7.	Have identified improvement actions been prioritised in terms of those likely to have the greatest impact?	2/2	1	ı	
∞.	What steps are taken to ensure that quality of care and service provided is not compromised as a result of costs saving measures?	2/2	1	ı	
တ်	Is performance information reported to the board of sufficient detail to enable value for money to be assessed?	2/2	1		ı
10	10. How does the IJB ensure that management of resources (finances, workforce etc.) is effective and sustainable?	2/2			